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## I. INTRODUCTION

## PURPOSE

This traffic study is intended for the evaluation of potential safety deficiencies and installation of red light running counter-measures for the intersections of the eastbound and westbound US 290 Service Roads at FM 529, in the City of Jersey Village, Texas. The traffic engineering analysis consists of traffic data collection, qualitative assessment of the conditions, crash analysis, evaluation of signal operations and visibility, and evaluation of signal clearance intervals. Based on the analysis performed in this study, a series of effective counter-measures will be evaluated and recommended.

## REQUIREMENTS

Texas Transportation Code Title 7 (Vehicles and Traffic) Subtitle I (Enforcement of Traffic Laws) Chapter 707 (Photographic Traffic Signal Enforcement System Section 707.003 (Installation and Operation of Photographic Traffic Signal Enforcement System), requires that the local authority shall conduct a traffic engineering study of the approach to determine whether, in addition to or as an alternative to the system, a design change to the approach or a change in the signalization of the intersection is likely to reduce the number of red light violations at the intersection.

Section 707.003, further requires that the intersection approach must be selected for the installation of a photographic traffic signal enforcement system based on traffic volume, the history of accidents at the approach, the number or frequency of red light violations at the intersection, and similar traffic engineering and safety criteria, without regard to the ethnic or socioeconomic characteristics of the area in which the approach is located.

In addition to the requirements of Section 707.003, the traffic study evaluated and documented the criteria outlined in the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Form 2296-RLC "Evaluation of the Need for Red Light Running Camera Engineering Analysis".

The United States Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) developed an Engineering Countermeasures to Reduce Red-Light Running Intersection Safety Brief (FHWA-SA-10-005) that defines red-light running and provides potential engineering countermeasures to reducing red-light running. Some of the engineering countermeasures listed in the brief include:

- Improving Signal Visibility and Conspicuity,
- Increasing the Likelihood for stopping,
- Removing reasons for intentional violations and
- Eliminating the need to stop.


Figure 1. Intersection Location Map

## II. INTERSECTION CONDITION ASSESSMENT

This section includes an assessment of the intersection operation and current field conditions as reviewed by a qualified registered professional traffic engineer.

As shown on Figure 1, FM 529 passes under US 290 (also known as Northwest Freeway) mainline; and intersects the EB \& WB westbound US 290 Service Roads on north \& south side of the freeway main line. Both EB \& WB US 290 Service Road signals are operated with a single controller as shown on the signal schematic shown on Figure 2, provided by Texas DOT.


Figure 2. Traffic Signal Phasing
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Section below is a summary of the intersection assessment including signal visibility, pavement condition, signal vehicle detection system, and signal operations.

## WB US 290 Service Road Approach

The WB approach is located downstream of the US 290 westbound off ramp and consists of 3 lanes (2 through \& 1 left turn) with curb and sidewalk as shown in Figure 2. FM 529 forms a "T" intersection with the WB approach.


Figure 3. WB US 290 Service Road Approach
Signal Visibility - Signal heads are visible from 1000'+ which is more than the MUTCD requirement of 390', as shown on Table 4D-2 below for posted speed of 40 mph . A "signal ahead" sign is installed at approximately 1000' back from the stop bar. The traffic signal heads are horizontal-mounted and include "tunnel visors" and "backplates" for maximum visibility.

| Table 4D-2. Minimum Sight Distance for Signal Visibility |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 85th-Percentile Speed | Minimum Sight Distance |
| 20 mph | 175 feet |
| 25 mph | 215 feet |
| 30 mph | 270 feet |
| 35 mph | 325 feet |
| 40 mph | 390 feet |
| 45 mph | 460 feet |
| 50 mph | 540 feet |
| 55 mph | 625 feet |
| 60 mph | 715 feet |
| Note: Distances in this table are distance plus an assumed lengths ( 60 to 75 seconds). | derived from stopping sight queue length for shorter cycle |

Table 1. 2009 MUTCD Table 4D-2
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Pavement Conditions - A visual inspection of the pavement condition at the intersection showed no signs of significant wearing or cracking that could inhibit a driver's ability to stop while approaching the intersection. All required pavement marking (i.e. stop bar, lane lines, arrows, crosswalks) are aged but visible. Crosswalk striping on the west side of the intersection is missing. Signing is adequate and in conformance with MUTCD.

Vehicle Detectors - three (3) sets Loop sensors are installed in the pavement on this approach. $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ presence sensors are installed at the stop bar in all lanes, $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ advance pulse sensors are installed at approximately $110^{\prime}$ from the stop bar and at 240 ' from stop bar. Pedestrian signal heads are installed for all permitted crossings.

Signal Operation - This signal is located approximately 0.41 miles from Senate Avenue signal, along WB US 290 Service Road. Arrival at the signal is mostly random due to the freeway ramp merge 500' back. Long queue of vehicles were observed for several cycles in the morning, back to the freeway ramp. Signal phasing does not appear to be a contributing factor to red light running, however, signal timing seems to cause unnecessary delays for the approach.


Figure 4. Queuing on WB US 290 SR Approach

## EB US 290 Service Road Approach

The EB approach is consists of 4 lanes ( 1 shared through \& left, 2 through, 1 right turn) with curb and sidewalk as shown in Figure 5.

Signal Visibility - As the signal is located on the bottom of a vertical down-grade, signal heads can get blocked by vehicles ahead, from approximately $900^{\prime}$ and farther. Once passed the 900 ' mark, signal head become visible. 900' sight visibility exceeds the MUTCD requirement of 390', as shown on Table 4D-2 below for posted speed of 40 mph . The traffic signal heads are horizontal-mounted and include "tunnel visors" and "backplates" for maximum visibility. A "signal ahead" sign is installed at 750' from stop bar.


Figure 5. EB US 290 Service Road Approach

Pavement Conditions - A visual inspection of the pavement condition at the intersection showed no signs of significant wearing or cracking that could inhibit a driver's ability to stop while approaching the intersection. All required pavement marking (i.e. stop bar, lane lines, arrows, crosswalks) are present. However, the color contrast between the concrete surface and white paint is very low but visible. Signing is adequate and in conformance with MUTCD.

Vehicle Detectors - three (3) sets Loop sensors are installed in the pavement on this approach. $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ presence sensors are installed at the stop bar in all lanes, and 2 sets of $6^{\prime} \times 6$ ' advance pulse sensors are installed at approximately 110' and at approximately 240' from stop bar. Pedestrian signal heads are installed for all permitted crossings.

Signal Operation - Arrival is generally in random due to separation distance of approximately 1.2 miles from previous signal at Jones Road. The signal phasing and operation do not appear to be a contributing factor to red light running. Observed traveling speeds are higher than the posted limits.

## NB FM 529 Approach

This approach to the intersection has 4 lanes (2 through \& 2 right turn) and posted speed of 45 mph . The profile of the approach is on a long down-grade with overhead structures which limit sight visibility to the signals, as depicted on Figure $6 \& 7$.


Figure 6. NB Senate Avenue Approach

Signal Visibility - The vertical down-grade in conjunction with 2 bridge overpasses on the approach, block sight to the signal heads. The signal heads are visible from approximately 430', which is less than 460' requirements for 45 mph . This route is heavily used by trucks which further restrict visibility on the approach. There is no "signal ahead" sign for the approach and installation is recommend as soon as possible.


Figure 7. NB FM 529 Approach
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Pavement Conditions - A visual inspection of the pavement condition at the intersection showed no signs of significant wearing or cracking that could inhibit a driver's ability to stop while approaching the intersection. All required pavement marking (i.e. stop bar, lane lines, arrows, crosswalks) are visible but the low contrast between the lighter color surface and white pavement marking effect the visibility. Signing is adequate and in conformance with the requirements of MUTCD.

Vehicle Detectors - 2 sets of $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ vehicle loop sensors in presence mode are installed in all lanes and functioning.

Signal Operation - Arrival at the signal is random. The signal phasing and operation do not appear to be a contributing factor to red light running. However, longer than necessary delays caused by inefficient timings, may be influencing erratic behavior by the motorists. Recommend evaluation of the timings to reduce delays.

## III. TRAFFIC VOLUMES

24-hour directional traffic volume data were collected on Wednesday, August 29,2018; for the approaches of the intersections. Figures 8-10 depict the daily and hourly volumes, and the peaking characteristics of the intersection approaches. Copies of the actual volume data are provided in the Appendix C of this report. As depicted, data indicates a distinct high morning peak in the EB US 290 Service Road between the hours of 7:00 to 8:00 AM. The afternoon high peak occurs between 4:00 to 5:00 PM on WB US 290 Service Road. Northbound FM 529 has 2 distinct peaks, morning between 6:00-7:00 AM and afternoon between 4:00 to 5:00 PM. Although truck volume data was not collected, the relatively high percentage were observed using FM 529 from the light industrial area near the intersection.


Figure 8. WB US 290 Service Road Daily Traffic Flow
Red Light Running Evaluation Analysis
EB \& WB US 290 Service Road at FM 529
Jersey Village, Texas


Figure 9. EB US 290 Service Road Daily Traffic Flow


Figure 10. NB Senate Avenue Daily Traffic Flow

## IV. CRASH ANALYSIS

City of Jersey Village Police Department (JVPD) complied and provided an 18-month crash history for the intersection approaches by type and severity, for the period 1/2017 through $8 / 2018$. Table 2 below contains a summary of the crash data. Detail summaries provided by JVPD are provided in the Appendix B of this report.

| Approach | Total | Right <br> Angle | Rear <br> End | Other | Fatal | Injury <br> Crash | RLC <br> Related |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB FM 529 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| EB US 290 SR | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| WB US 290 SR | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total All Crashes | 26 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |

Table 2. 18-Month Crash Summary (1/2017-8/2018, JVPD)
The analysis of the data suggests a high pattern of "right-angle" type crashes on all 3 approaches of the intersection. Northbound FM 529 approach has the highest number of crashes, with $55 \%$ right-angle crashes which is generally attributed to driver's failure to obey traffic control device and typically susceptible to correction by installation of red light running counter-measures. Given the high number of right-angle crashes, all approaches of the intersection are expected to be good candidates for consideration.

## V. ENFORCEMENT DATA

City of Jersey Village provided records of enforcement activities for the most recent 18-month period (January 1, 2017 through August 20, 2018). Records indicate that a total of 5,671 citations were issued for the 3-mile section of EB \& WB US 290 Service Road, from Hilcrest Road to N Eldridge Parkway.

For the intersection of EB \& WB US 290 Service Road at FM 529, a total of 789 citations were issued which included 352 in the eastbound direction and 437 in the westbound direction. The totals include 16 "red light running" citations, 5 in the eastbound direction and 11 in the westbound direction. Some of the reasons for citations included the following:

- Speeding
- Unsafe lane change
- Turn from improper lane


## VI. SIGNAL CLEARANCE INTERVALS

Traffic existing signal timing data was provided by TXDOT and is shown in Table 3. Appendix D contains the full timing data document for the intersection.

| PHASES | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Green | 0 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 10 |
| Passage | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Maximum 1 | 0 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 35 | 7 | 50 | 70 |
| Maximum 2 | 0 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 40 | 7 | 50 | 70 |
| Yellow Change | 3.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Red Clearance | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 |

## Table 3. Existing Signal Timing (Provided by TXDOT)

The calculated yellow and all-red clearance times were obtained from the ITE Traffic Engineering Handbook (5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) using Table 13-3 "Nominal Values for Change + Clearance Interval Time". The Yellow Change Interval time + Red Clearance Interval time includes a reaction time, a deceleration element, and an intersection clearing time, using the following equations:

$$
Y=t+\frac{1.47 v}{2(a+G g)} \quad R=\frac{W+L}{1.47 v}
$$

Where: $\quad \mathrm{Y}=$ yellow change interval ( sec )
R= all-red interval (sec)
$t=$ perception-reaction time ( 1 sec )
$\mathrm{v}=$ approach speed ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
$\mathrm{a}=$ deceleration rate ( $10 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ )
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration rate in response to the onset of a yellow indication. (ft/sec ${ }^{2}$ )
$\mathrm{G}=$ approach grade, with uphill positive and downhill negative (percent grade / 100)
$\mathrm{W}=$ width of intersection from near curb line to far curb line ( ft )
L=length of vehicle ( 20 ft )
The calculated yellow and all-red intervals are provided in Table 4. It should be noted that for positive approach grades, $0 \%$ slope was assumed for the calculations.

| Approach | Approach <br> Grade <br> $\%$ | Approach <br> Speed <br> MPH | W <br> (Distance), <br> Ft | Calculated <br> Yellow <br> Interval <br> $(\mathrm{Sec})$ | All-Red <br> Interval <br> $(\mathrm{Sec})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB FM 529 (Ø2) | $-1.0 \%$ | 45 | 90 | 4.5 | 1.7 |
| EB US 290 Service Rd (Ø4) | $-1.5 \%$ | 40 | 135 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| WB US 290 Service Rd (OVLB= Ø6+Ø8) | $0.0 \%$ | 40 | 90 | 4.0 | 2.0 |

Table 4. Calculated Yellow \& All-Red Intervals

| Approach | Yellow Interval (Sec) |  | All-Red Interval (Sec) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing | Calculated | Existing | Calculated |
| NB FM 529 (Ø2) | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| EB US 290 Service Rd (Ø4) | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| WB US 290 Service Rd (OVLB= Ø6+Ø8) | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 |

Table 5. Yellow \& All-Red Interval Comparison
Overall, the existing yellow intervals are higher and more conservative than the calculated values and shall remain in effect. The existing all-red intervals are consistent with the calculated values. No further changes are recommended.

## VII. TXDOT ENGINEERING ANALYSIS EVALUATION FORM

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has developed an engineering analysis form titled "Evaluation of the Need for Red Light Running Camera Engineering Analysis" which is also referred to as Form 2296-RLC. The evaluation analysis worksheets, included in Appendix A, include sections for information on intersection and signal data, signal timing and traffic data, crash and enforcement data, and other supporting information.

## VIII. POTENTIAL ENGINEERING COUNTERMEASURES

As discussed previously, the Texas Transportation Code Title 7 (Vehicles and Traffic) Subtitle I (Enforcement of Traffic Laws) Chapter 707 (Photographic Traffic Signal Enforcement System Section 707.003 (Installation and Operation of Photographic Traffic Signal Enforcement System), requires that the local authority shall conduct a traffic engineering study of the approach to determine whether, in addition to or as an alternative to the system, a design change to the approach or a change in the signalization of the intersection id likely to reduce the number of red light violations at the intersection.

Based on the application of the procedures recommended by The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) publication, Table 6 below summarizes the countermeasures that can be considered under each of the countermeasure groupings identified above. These engineering countermeasures are based on a driver characteristic called the "unintentional violator." This type of driver may be incapable of stopping or may be inattentive while approaching the intersection due to poor judgement by the driver or in the design or operation of the intersection. A second type of driver characteristic is the "intentional violator" who, based on his/her judgement, knows they may violate the signal yet proceeds through the intersection anyway. This type of driver is most affected by enforcement countermeasures, while unintentional red-light runners are most affected by engineering countermeasures.

| Improvement category | Intersection Approaches |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB FM 529 | EB US 290 SR | WB US 290 SR |
| Improve Signal Visibility/Conspicuity |  |  |  |
| Signal for Each Approach Through Lane | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Install Backplates | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Modify Placement of Signal Heads | Add Warning | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Increase Size of Signal Displays | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Install Programmable Signal/ Visors or Louvers | Existing/Visors | Existing/Visors | Existing/Visors |
| Install LED Signal Lenses | Not Recommended | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |
| Increase the Likelihood for Stopping |  |  |  |
| Install Signal Ahead Signs | Install Multiple New | Existing at 750' | Existing at 1000' |
| Install Transverse Rumble Strips | Not Recommended | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |
| Install Activated Advance Warning Flashers | Consider | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |
| Improve Pavement Surface Condition | Not Recommended | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |
| Remove Reasons for Intentional Violations |  |  |  |
| Adjust Yellow Change Interval | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Provide or Adjust All-Red Clearance Interval | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Adjust Signal Cycle Length | Evaluate | Evaluate | Evaluate |
| Provide Dilemma Zone Protection | Not Recommended | Existing | Not Recommended |
| Eliminate the Need to Stop |  |  |  |
| Coordinate Signal Operation | Existing OK | Existing OK | Existing OK |
| Remove Unwarranted Signals | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Construct a Roundabout | Not Recommended | Not Recommended | Not Recommended |

Source: USDOT Federal Highway Administration

Table 6. Summary of Countermeasures for Reducing Red-Light Running

## IX. CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis determined a high concentration of "right-angle" type crashes for US 290 Service Road approaches with FM 529, on both sides of the Northwest Freeway. The "right-angle" crash type at signalized intersections are generally attributed to failure to obey the traffic control device. The enforcement data provided by JVPD illustrates that although there is a high level of enforcement, a persistent violation pattern remains. Implementation of a red-light-running cameras has been shown to significantly reduce the "right-angle" crash frequency at signalized intersections, specifically through the enforcement of "intentional violators". Other red-light running counter-measures, designed to improve the conspicuity of the traffic signal, can also be considered to reduce the unintentional violations.

In conclusion, installation of red light running enforcement cameras on all approaches will reduce the incidents of red light running and will enhance the overall safety of the intersection. Other potentially effective red light running countermeasure listed on Table 6, will also further enhance the safety by curtailing violations. A summary of recommended improvements is provided below:

## NB Senate Avenue

- Install 2 "signal ahead" signs, one on shoulder side and one in the median, prior to the structures on this approach. Evaluate the need for flashing warning devices in advance of the intersection.
- Evaluate the signal timing to reduce un-necessary delays that influence driver behavior.
- Install a red light running enforcement camera.


## EB US 290 Service Road

- Install a red light running enforcement camera.
- Evaluate the signal timing to reduce un-necessary delays that influence driver behavior.


## WB US 290 Service Road

- Install crosswalk on west side of the intersection.
- Evaluate the signal timing to address frequent queuing and reduce un-necessary delays that influence driver behavior.
- Install a red light running enforcement camera.


## APPENDIX INDEX

Appendix A TxDOT Engineering Analysis Worksheet (Form 2296RLC)

## Appendix C Crash Data
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Appendix D Traffic Signal Timing Sheets

Appendix E TxDOT Traffic Signal Plans

## APPENDIX A

## TxDOT ENGINEERING ANALYSIS WORKSHEET (Form 2296RLC)

City: Jersey Village County: Harris
Intersection: EB \& WB US 290 Service Roads at FM 529
A. Intersection and Signal Data

1. Signal Visibility
a. Minimum Sight Distance to Signal

| Approach | Grade | Speed Limit (MPH) | Measured (ft.) | Required (ft.)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB US 290 SR | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1000+$ | 390 |
| NB Senate Ave | $-1.0 \%$ | 45 | 430 | 460 |
| EB US 290 SR | $-1.5 \%$ | 40 | $900+$ | 390 |

- See TMUTCD Table 4D-2 for minimum sight distance requirements
b. Are "SIGNAL AHEAD" warning signs present?
$\boxtimes$ Yes
$\square^{\text {No }}$

Yes- on EB \& WB US 290SR
No - on NB FM 529
c. Are "SIGNAL AHEAD" warning signs needed?
No Needed only on NB \& SB Senate Avenue
d. Are other warning signs present in the vicinity of the intersection?Yes
® No

Explain: $\qquad$ .
e. Information on Signal Heads

| Approach | Lens Size | Lens Type <br> (LED or Bulb) | Back Plates <br> (Y or N) | Retroreflective <br> Border (Y or N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB US 290 SR | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | Bulb | Y | N |
| NB FM 529 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | Bulb | Y | N |
| EB US 290 SR | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | Bulb | Y | N |

2. Pavement and Marking Data
a. Are stop bars in "good" condition?
$\boxtimes$ Yes
No

Explain: The stop bars on all approaches are visible but the color contrast
Between the light color concrete pavement and white paint provides lesser target value.
b. Are lanes "clearly" visible?
$\boxtimes$ YesNo
Explain:
c. Are crosswalks "clearly" marked?

Yes No
Explain: crosswalk on west side of WB US290 SR is missing. Crosswalks are visible but the color contrast between light color concrete pavement and paint, provides lesser target value
d. What is the pavement condition (ruts, potholes, cracking, etc.)?
$\boxtimes$ Good Explain:
$\square$ Fair Explain:Poor Explain:
e. Do pavement surface treatments exist (rumble strips, texturing, pavers, etc.)?Explain:

## $\boxtimes$ No

3. Provide diagram of intersection including: pavement markings, width of lanes and medians,


## See Signal Plans in Appendix E

location of signal heads and signs, locations of loops/detectors, and grades.
See signal plans provided by TxDOT in Appendix E
B. Signal Timing and Traffic Data

1. Clearance Intervals

| Approach | Posted <br> Speed <br> Limit | Grade | Width of <br> Intersection | Yellow Interval |  | All Red Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Calculated* $^{\star}$ | Existing | Calculated $^{\star}$ |  |
| WB US 290 SR | 40 | $0 \%$ | $90^{\prime}$ | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| NB Senate Ave | 45 | $-1.0 \%$ | $90^{\prime}$ | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| EB US 290 SR | 40 | $-1.5 \%$ | 135 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |

- Reference ITE for calculation of clearance intervals

2. Include existing controller settings for each phase and each time-of-day. Information should include applicable settings such as minimum green, max $1 \& 2$, passage, minimum gap/ext., protectedpermissive, lead-lag, yellow and all red, walk and ped clearance time, recall settings, offsets, cycle length, etc. Include analysis of peak hour conditions and a determination of whether signal timings are contributing to red-light running problems. See controller timings provided by TxDOT in Appendix D
a. Does signal timing or phasing factor in as a possible contributor to red light running at this intersection?
$\square$ Yes
$\boxtimes \mathrm{No}$
b. List comments or recommendations on potential signal timing or phasing changes: No phasing or changes are recommended. Observed excessive and unwarranted delays. Recommend evaluation of the signal timings to reduce queues and delays.
3. Vehicle Detection Data

| Approach | Detection Type <br> (loop, video, etc.) | Detector Location <br> (measured from stop bar) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| WB US 290 SR | Loop | $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ at stop bar, $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ loops at $110^{\prime} \& 240^{\prime}$ |
| NB FM 529 | Loop | 2 sets of $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ at stop bar |
| EB US 290 SR | Loop | $6^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ at stop bar, $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ loops at $110^{\prime} \& 240^{\prime}$ |

4. Traffic Volume Data

| Approach | Daily Volumes |  | Peak Hour Volumes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Heavy Vehicles | Total | Heavy Vehicles |
| WB US 290 SR | 12922 | - | 1571 | - |
| NB FM 529 | 17,840 | Not measured but <br> heavy | 1426 | Not measured but <br> heavy |
| EB US 290 SR | 11327 | - | 11327 | - |

## C. Crash and Enforcement Data

1. 18 Months of "Before" Crash Data

| Approach | Collision Type | Total | Number of Injury Crashes | Number of Fatal Crashes | Crashes Associated with <br> Red Light Running |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB FM 529 | Rear End | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Angle | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Head-on | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedal cyclist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| EB US 290 SR | Rear End | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Angle | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Head-on | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedal cyclist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| WB US 290 SR | Rear End | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Angle | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Head-on | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedestrian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pedal cyclist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rear End |  |  |  |  |
|  | Angle |  |  |  |  |
|  | Head-on |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedal cyclist |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |

2. Violation Rate
a. Number of red light running citations per year issued by law enforcement Number:

Total 789 Citations on US 290 SR ( 352 EB \& 437 WB) including 16 citations for running red light(5 EB \& 11 WB )
Year: Jan. 1, 2017 - Aug. 20, 2018
b. Observed Violations: None Observed Date:

Time Period:

| Approach | Traffic Volume | Number of Violations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3. Enforcement and Operational Issues
a. Describe the difficulty experienced by law enforcement officers in patrol cars or on foot in apprehending violators. Law enforcement resources are limited. This is a high congestion during morning and afternoon peak periods. Speed are also higher than posted. Enforcement level has been high with 789 citations issued in 18-month period, but, red light running remains a concern with high level of "right-angle" crash types.
b. Describe the ability of law enforcement officers to apprehend violators safely within a reasonable distance from the violation. Law enforcement resources are limited for consistent enforcement. This is a congested area during AM \& PM peak periods. Long enforcement activities affects the congestion level and impacts freeway ramp operation.
c. Are pedestrians at risk due to violations?
$\square$ Yes
$\boxtimes$ No

Explain:
Number of pedestrians per hour: $\quad$ None Observed
Pedestrian crosswalk provided?
$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Crosswalk on WB US 290 SR on west side are missing.
d. Have there been any changes to the operations of the intersection (signal timing, restriping, increased enforcement, etc.) with the past three years. Yes. TxDOT recently completed intersection improvements at the intersections on both side of the freeway.
D. Other Supporting Information:

See traffic study for more details.

## APPENDIX B

CRASH DATA

| 2018 RLC YEAR TOTAL'S | Total Int. CRASHES | RLC RELATED CRASHES | RLC INJ CRASHES | RL RELATED INJ | NON RLR CRASHES | NON <br> RLC REL.INJ CRA. | NON RLC REL. INJ. | RLR <br> FATAL CRASHES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RLC } \\ \text { FATAL } \end{gathered}$ CRASHES | NON RLR FATALITIES | NON RLR FATALITES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JV01 SB SENATE @ WBSR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV02 NBSenate @ EBSR | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV03 EBSR @ SENATE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV04 WBSR @ SENATE | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV05 SB JONES @ WBSR | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV06 WBSR @ JONES | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV07 EBSR @ JONES | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV08 EBSR @ FM 529 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV09 WBSR @ FM 529 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV13 WBSR @ WEST RD | 8 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV18 NB FM 529 @ EBSR | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 53 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: JVPD

| 2017 RLC YEAR TOTAL'S | Total Int. CRASHES | RLC RELATED CRASHES | RLC INJ CRASHES |  | NON RLR CRASHES | NON RLC REL.INJ CRASHES | NON RLC REL. INJ. | RLR <br> FATAL CRASHES | RLC REL. <br> FATALITIES | NON RLR FATAL CRA | NON RLR FATALITES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JV01 SB SENATE @ WBSR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV02 NB Senate @ EBSR | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV03 EBSR @ SENATE | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV04 WBSR @ SENATE | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV05 SB JONES @ WBSR | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV06 WBSR @ JONES | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV07 EBSR @ JONES | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV08 EBSR @ FM 529 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV09 WBSR @ FM 529 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV13 WBSR @ WEST RD | 14 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JV18 NB FM 529 @ EBSR | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 76 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 59 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]
## APPENDIX C

 TRAFFIC VOLUMESSite Code: 6 NB
Station ID: 1605
FM 529 south of eb US 290 Service Rd
Jersey Village, Texas
Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

| Start | 29-Aug-18 | NB |  | Hour Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Wed | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon |
| 12:00 |  | 26 | 253 |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 21 | 231 |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | 24 | 232 |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | 16 | 198 | 87 | 914 |
| 01:00 |  | 13 | 195 |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | 18 | 197 |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | 26 | 220 |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | 19 | 191 | 76 | 803 |
| 02:00 |  | 20 | 200 |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | 11 | 249 |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | 23 | 269 |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | 18 | 215 | 72 | 933 |
| 03:00 |  | 23 | 317 |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | 25 | 235 |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | 34 | 335 |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | 31 | 320 | 113 | 1207 |
| 04:00 |  | 37 | 386 |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | 59 | 284 |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | 96 | 400 |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | 141 | 328 | 333 | 1398 |
| 05:00 |  | 155 | 428 |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | 211 | 311 |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | 301 | 335 |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | 319 | 271 | 986 | 1345 |
| 06:00 |  | 350 | 292 |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | 324 | 262 |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | 311 | 288 |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | 318 | 173 | 1303 | 1015 |
| 07:00 |  | 304 | 147 |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | 305 | 155 |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | 334 | 143 |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | 294 | 112 | 1237 | 557 |
| 08:00 |  | 340 | 74 |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | 366 | 78 |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | 347 | 89 |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | 373 | 82 | 1426 | 323 |
| 09:00 |  | 282 | 87 |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | 246 | 94 |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | 228 | 64 |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | 277 | 56 | 1033 | 301 |
| 10:00 |  | 281 | 74 |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 286 | 66 |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | 238 | 45 |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | 210 | 30 | 1015 | 215 |
| 11:00 |  | 268 | 32 |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | 262 | 33 |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | 278 | 34 |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | 221 | 20 | 1029 | 119 |
| Total |  | 8710 | 9130 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 48.8\% | 51.2\% |  |  |
| Grand Total |  | 8710 | 9130 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 48.8\% | 51.2\% |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 17,840 |  | AADT 17,840 |  |

Site Code: 6 SB
Station ID: 1605
FM 529 south of eb US 290 Service Rd
Jersey Village, Texas
Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

| Start | 29-Aug-18 | SB |  | Hour Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Wed | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon |
| 12:00 |  | 30 | 210 |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 27 | 183 |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | 25 | 162 |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | 18 | 202 | 100 | 757 |
| 01:00 |  | 15 | 185 |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | 15 | 180 |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | 15 | 194 |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | 14 | 196 | 59 | 755 |
| 02:00 |  | 18 | 164 |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | 12 | 186 |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | 13 | 210 |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | 27 | 270 | 70 | 830 |
| 03:00 |  | 16 | 226 |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | 11 | 263 |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | 28 | 287 |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | 33 | 330 | 88 | 1106 |
| 04:00 |  | 27 | 315 |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | 54 | 309 |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | 105 | 383 |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | 101 | 332 | 287 | 1339 |
| 05:00 |  | 113 | 297 |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | 180 | 343 |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | 329 | 308 |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | 352 | 367 | 974 | 1315 |
| 06:00 |  | 150 | 328 |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | 224 | 299 |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | 222 | 249 |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | 258 | 247 | 854 | 1123 |
| 07:00 |  | 218 | 204 |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | 196 | 171 |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | 240 | 197 |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | 244 | 140 | 898 | 712 |
| 08:00 |  | 209 | 143 |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | 185 | 126 |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | 208 | 112 |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | 197 | 126 | 799 | 507 |
| 09:00 |  | 165 | 121 |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | 145 | 99 |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | 192 | 82 |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | 164 | 98 | 666 | 400 |
| 10:00 |  | 177 | 89 |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 184 | 81 |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | 166 | 66 |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | 151 | 52 | 678 | 288 |
| 11:00 |  | 187 | 76 |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | 163 | 42 |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | 153 | 47 |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | 177 | 39 | 680 | 204 |
| Total |  | 6153 | 9336 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 39.7\% | 60.3\% |  |  |
| Grand Total |  | 6153 | 9336 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 39.7\% | 60.3\% |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 15,489 |  | AADT 15,489 |  |

Site Code: 5 Station ID: 1613 EB US 290 Service Rd west of FM 529 Jersey Village, Texas Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

| Start | 29-Aug-18 | EB |  | Hour Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Wed | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon |
| 12:00 |  | 26 | 160 |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 15 | 179 |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | 16 | 160 |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | 11 | 164 | 68 | 663 |
| 01:00 |  | 13 | 163 |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | 17 | 195 |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | 16 | 181 |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | 10 | 140 | 56 | 679 |
| 02:00 |  | 15 | 161 |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | 12 | 147 |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | 15 | 146 |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | 9 | 152 | 51 | 606 |
| 03:00 |  | 12 | 146 |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | 10 | 129 |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | 24 | 158 |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | 18 | 139 | 64 | 572 |
| 04:00 |  | 10 | 137 |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | 35 | 153 |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | 61 | 93 |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | 68 | 131 | 174 | 514 |
| 05:00 |  | 64 | 97 |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | 88 | 152 |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | 168 | 113 |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | 190 | 116 | 510 | 478 |
| 06:00 |  | 221 | 101 |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | 277 | 91 |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | 281 | 106 |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | 383 | 98 | 1162 | 396 |
| 07:00 |  | 305 | 102 |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | 324 | 64 |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | 396 | 89 |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | 356 | 81 | 1381 | 336 |
| 08:00 |  | 328 | 66 |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | 289 | 66 |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | 239 | 60 |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | 239 | 43 | 1095 | 235 |
| 09:00 |  | 191 | 54 |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | 197 | 35 |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | 154 | 41 |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | 158 | 36 | 700 | 166 |
| 10:00 |  | 117 | 34 |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 137 | 33 |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | 168 | 29 |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | 160 | 20 | 582 | 116 |
| 11:00 |  | 152 | 31 |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | 157 | 25 |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | 141 | 16 |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | 178 | 23 | 628 | 95 |
| Total |  | 6471 | 4856 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 57.1\% | 42.9\% |  |  |
| Grand Total |  | 6471 | 4856 |  |  |
| Percent |  | 57.1\% | 42.9\% |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 11,327 |  | AADT 11,327 |  |

Site Code: 8 Station ID: 1615
WB US 290 Service Rd east of Senate Av Jersey Village, Texas Latitude: 0' 0.0000 Undefined

| Start <br> Time | 29-Aug-18 <br> Wed | Worning |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

## APPENDIX D

## SIGNAL TIMING DATA

## Access Data

## Date: <br> 10/14/2014

Time:
10:36

Intersection Name: US 290 at FM 529 (Const)
Source:
Database

Level 1 Level 2
Security Code:
9999

|  | Baud Rate | Data Bits | Parity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Printer Port 2 | $0-1200$ | 0-Eight | 0 |
| Com Port 2 | $5-19200$ |  |  |
| Com Port 3 | $4-9600$ |  |  |



## Phase Vehicle Timing Data

Date: 10/14/2014 Time: 10:36:28AN


## Phase Pedestrian Timing Data

Date: 10/14/2014 Time: 10:36:28AN


## Phase General Control Data

Date: 10/14/2014 Time: 10:36:28AN

| Intersection Name: | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Source: | Database |


| PHASES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0-None | 1-Inactive | 3-Yellow | 1-Inactive | 1-Inactive | 1-Inactive |
| Non-Actuated Respons | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none |
| Vehicle Recall | 0-None | 3-Max | 3-Max | 3-Max | 3-Max | 3-Max |
| Ped Recall | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |
| Recall DDelay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PHASES | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Initial | 1-Inactive | 3-Yellow | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |
| Non-Actuated Respons | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0-none | 0 -none |
| Vehicle Recall | 3-Max | 3-Max | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |
| Ped Recall | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0 -None | 0-None | 0-None |
| Recall DDelay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PHASES | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| Initial | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |  |  |
| Non-Actuated Respons | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none | 0 -none |  |  |
| Vehicle Recall | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |  |  |
| Ped Recall | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None | 0-None |  |  |
| Recall DDelay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |



## Phase Vehicle Detector Data

Date: 10/14/2014
Time: 10:36:28AN

| Intersection Name: | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Source: | Database |


| DETECTOR | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assigned Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Operation Mode | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ | $0-\mathrm{Veh}$ |
| Switch PHase | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## Unit General Control Data

Date: 10/14/2014
Time: 10:36:28AN

| rsection Name: US 290 at FM 529 (Const) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: | Database |  |  |  |  |  |
| Startup Time | 5 | RING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Startup State | 1-All Red | Input Response | Ring 1 | Ring 2 | None | None |
| Red Revert | 4.0 | Output Selection | Ring 1 | Ring 2 | None | None |
| Auto Pedestrian Clear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stop Time Reset | 0 | I/O Modes |  | Input | Output |  |
| Alternate Sequence | 1 | "ABC" Connector |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | "D" Connector |  | 0 | 0 |  |



## Unit Overlap Data

| Intersection Name: | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) | Date: 10/14/2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source: | Database | Time: 10:36:28AN |


| PHASE | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overlap A | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overlap B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Overlap C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Codes: $0=$ NO $1=$ YES Phase is included in overla

| OVERLAP | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ | P |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRL GRN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| YEL/10 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| RED/10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| -GRN/YEL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +GRN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Unit Ring Data

| Intersection Name | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) | Date | 10/14/2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | Database | Time | 10:26:49AM |

## Concurrent Phases

| Phase | Ring | Next | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |



## Unit Channel Output Data

| Intersection Name | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) | Date $10 / 14 / 2014$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | Database | Time | 10:26:49AM |

Channel
1-Phase 1 Vehicle
2-Phase 2 Vehicle
3-Phase 3 Vehicle
4-Phase 4 Vehicle
5-Phase 5 Vehicle
6-Phase 6 Vehicle
7-Phase 7 Vehicle
8-Phase 8 Vehicle
9-Phase 9 Vehicle
10-Phase 10 Vehicle
11-Phase 11 Vehicle
12-Phase 12 Vehicle

## Control

1-Veh Phase 1

2-Veh Phase 2

3-Veh Phase 3

4-Veh Phase 4

5-Veh Phase 5

6-Veh Phase 6
7-Veh Phase 7

8-Veh Phase 8
18-Ped Phase 2

20-Ped Phase 4
21-Ped Phase 5

0-None

## Hardware Pin

1-Phase 1 RYG
2-Phase 2 RYG
3-Phase 3 RYG
4-Phase4 RYG
5-Phase 5 RYG

6-Phase 6 RYG
7-Phase 7 RYG
8-Phase 8 RYG

10-Phase 2 DPW

12-Phase 4 DPW
14-Phase 6 DPW
16-Phase 8 DPW

## Unit Channel Output Data

| Intersection Name | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) | Date | 10/14/2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | Database | Time | 10:26:49AM |


| Channel | Control | Hardware Pin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13-Overlap A Vehicle | 33-Overlap A | 17-Overlap A RYG |
| 14-Overlap B Vehicle | 34-Overlap B | 18-Overlap B RYG |
| 15-Overlap C Vehicle | 35-Overlap C | 19-Overlap C RYG |
| 16-Overlap D Vehicle | 36-Overlap D | 20-Overlap D RYG |
| 17-Phase 1 Ped | 17-Ped Phase 1 | 9-Phase 1 DPW |
| 18-Phase 3 Ped | 19-Ped Phase 3 | 13-Phase 3 DPW |
| 19-Phase 5 Ped DPW |  |  |
| 20-Phase 7 Ped | 0-None | 15-Phase 7 DPW |
| 21-Overlap E Vehicle | 0-None | 0-None |
| 22-Overlap F Vehicle Phase 7 | 0-None | 0-None |
| 23-Overlap G Vehicle | 0-None | 0-None |
| 24-Overlap H Vehicle | 0-None | 0-None |



## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 1 Split 2

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26
Intersection Name US 290 at FM 529 (Const)

Source

Cycle Length

Ring Sum Times

Phase
Time
Mode
Ph Min Veh Serv
Ph Min Ped Serv

Database

90

90
90
0
0

| Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 | Phase 6 | Phase 7 | Phase 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 39 | 17 | 34 | 26 | 13 | 22 | 29 |
| 0 | -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 1-Coord Ph | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated |
| 0 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 18 |


| Phase | Phase 9 | Phase 10 | Phase 11 | Phase 12 | Phase 13 | Phase 14 | Phase 15 | Phase 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Offset | Offset 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time | 40 |
| Mode | $0-$ Normal |
| Alternate | 1 |
| Sequence | 0 |
| Ring 2 Lag Time | 0 |
| Ring 3 Lag Time | 0 |
| Ring 4 Lag Time |  |

Offset 2
0
0 -Normal
0
0
0
0

Offset 3
0
0-Normal
0
0
0
Ring 3 Lag Time
0
0
Ring 4 Lag Time

## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 2 Split 1

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26

Intersection Name US 290 at FM 529 (Const)

Source

Cycle Length

Ring Sum Times

Phase
Time
Mode
Ph Min Veh Serv
Ph Min Ped Serv

Phase
Time
Mode
Ph Min Veh Serv
Ph Min Ped Serv

| Phase 9 | Phase 10 | Phase 11 | Phase 12 | Phase 13 | Phase 14 | Phase 15 | Phase 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Offset
Time
Mode
Alternate
Sequence
Ring 2 Lag Time
Ring 3 Lag Time
Ring 4 Lag Time

Offset 1
102
0-Normal
1
0
0
0

Offset 2
122
0-Normal
1
0
0
0

Offset 3
0
0-Normal
0
0
0
0

## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 3 Split 1

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26

Intersection Name US 290 at FM 529 (Const)

Source Database

Cycle Length 135
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ring Sum Times } & 135 & 135 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

| Phase | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 | Phase 6 | Phase 7 | Phase 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 34 | 72 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 17 | 84 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 1-Coord Ph | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 1-Coord |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | Ph |
| Ph Min Ped Serv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |


| Phase | Phase 9 | Phase 10 | Phase 11 | Phase 12 | Phase 13 | Phase 14 | Phase 15 | Phase 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Ph Min Ped Serv

Offset
Time
Mode
Alternate Sequence
Ring 2 Lag Time
Ring 3 Lag Time
Offset 1
13
0-Normal
1

0
Ring 4 Lag Time
0
Offset 2
0
0 -Normal
0
0
0
0

Offset 3
0
0-Normal
0
0
0
0

## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 3 Split 2

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26

| Intersection Name | US 290 at F | 529 (Const) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ring Sum Times | 135 | 135 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Phase | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 | Phase 6 | Phase 7 | Phase 8 |
| Time | 0 | 29 | 77 | 29 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 89 |
| Mode | 0-Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 1-Coord Ph | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 1-Coord Ph |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 18 |
| Ph Min Ped Serv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Phase | Phase 9 | Phase 10 | Phase 11 | Phase 12 | Phase 13 | Phase 14 | Phase 15 | Phase 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Ph Min Ped Serv

Offset
Time
Mode
Alternate Sequence
Ring 2 Lag Time
Ring 3 Lag Time
Ring 4 Lag Time
Offset 1
13
0 -Normal
1
0
0
0
Offset 2
0
0 -Normal
0
0
0
0

Offset 3
0
0-Normal
0
0
0
0 0

## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 4 Split 1

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26

Intersection Name

Source

Cycle Length 80
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ring Sum Times } & 80 & 80 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

| Phase | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 | Phase 6 | Phase 7 | Phase 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 34 | 17 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 28 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 1-Coord Ph | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 1-Coord Ph |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 18 |
| Ph Min Ped Serv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |

Time
Mode

Ph Min Ped Serv

Time
Mode
Ph Min Veh Serv
Phase $9 \quad$ Phase $10 \quad$ Phase 11

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated |

Phase 12

Phase 13
Phase 14
Phase 15
Phase 16

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 0

Ph Min Ped Serv

Offset
Time
Mode
Alternate Sequence
Ring 2 Lag Time
Ring 3 Lag Time
Ring 4 Lag Time

Offset 1
43
0-Normal
1
0
0
0
Offset 2
0
$0-$ Normal
0
0
0
0

0

Offset 3
0
0-Normal
0
0
0
0

## Coordination Timing Plan Data - Dial 4 Split 2

Date 6/29/201 Time 11:26

Intersection Name US 290 at FM 529 (Const)

Source Database

Cycle Length 90
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ring Sum Times } & 90 & 90 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

| Phase | Phase 1 | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 5 | Phase 6 | Phase 7 | Phase 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 38 | 16 | 36 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 27 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 1 -Coord Ph | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated | 1-Coord Ph |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 18 |
| Ph Min Ped Serv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Phase | Phase 9 | Phase 10 | Phase 11 | Phase 12 | Phase 13 | Phase 14 | Phase 15 | Phase 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0 -Actuated | 0-Actuated | 0-Actuated |
| Ph Min Veh Serv | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Offset | Offset 1 | Offset 2 | Offset 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time | 58 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | 0 -Normal | 0 -Normal | 0 -Normal |
| Alternate Sequence | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring 2 Lag Time | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring 3 Lag Time | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ring 4 Lag Time | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Local TBC DST and Equate Data

| Intersection Name: | US 290 at FM 529 (Const) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Source: | Database |  |
|  | Month | Week |
| DST Begin | 3 | 2 |
| DST End | 11 | 1 |
| Cycle Zero <br> Reference time | 24 | Mour |


| Source | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Local TBC Traffic Data

Intersection US 290 at FM 529 (Const)
Source
Database

Date 6/29/2017

Sour Datas

Time 11:27:31

## Phase Functions

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16\end{array}$

| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 4/2/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 19 | 30 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2/1/2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 2/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1/2/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 3/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 2 | 16 | 45 | 3/2/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 2 | 19 | 30 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 4/1/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




2. ABANDONAL CONOUTTA CAALE AND GROUND BOXES THAT
3. SEE "LLEGND For plan layout" Sheet for loop detector



Controller w/Cabinet a beu conouit bore conouit
bridee mounteo conduit
ground box type o with apron
GRound box TYPE 2 WITH APRON horizontal traffic signal head VERTICAL TRAFFIC SIGNAL
pedestrian signal head
vivos detector
mast arm and pole
PEOESTAL POLE
LOOP DETECTOR
LOop oetector
Electrical service
Luminaire with $10^{\prime}$ arm SMALL SIGN pedestrian push button proposed vivos detection zone
(xx) proposed run number

$\begin{array}{lll}0 & 10 \quad 20 \quad 40\end{array}$


EXCELSIS, INC.
2825 WILCREST DR,SUITE 100
$\xrightarrow{\text { (1) Texas Deportment }}$
US 290 FM 529



[^0]:    Source: JVPD

